April 15, 2004

Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

Under the Raperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no perso			s it displays a valid OMB control number.
re .	Application Number	09/851,856	DECEMEN
APR TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	May 9, 2001	HECEIVED
ለ <i>ነ` ረ አ</i> ክ, ዴ/FORM	First Named Inventor	Robert Mays, Jr.	APR 2 7 2004
(to be used feed correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2633	ATT 5
Mary Co.	Examiner Name	Curs, Nathan M.	Technology Center 2600
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	MYS-01-02109	

Tota	al Number of P	ages in T	his Submission			IWITS	-01-02109	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
	Amendmen Afte Affice Extension of Express Ab Information Certified Co Document(s) Response t Incomplete Res	Attache at/Reply ar Final davits/d f Time andonn Disclos ppy of P s) o Missii Applica	eclaration(s) Request nent Request ure Statement riority		Drawing(s)  Licensing-related  Petition  Petition to Convertional Apple  Power of Attorney	d Papers  ert to a  ication  ey, Revocation  espondence Address  mer	Form	After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  1449-IDS by Applicant y-three (43) References urn Receipt Postcard to Kenneth Brooks
			SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICA	NT, ATTORNEY,	OR AG	ENT
Firm or Individ Signat Date	lual name ture	aw Offi			Breek			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.								
Typed	or printed na		Alexis Sheffield					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Signature

PTO/SB/17 (11-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE aberwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

(\$) 180.00 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Complete if Known						
Application Number	09/851,856 RECEVEL					
Filing Date	May 9, 2001					
First Named Inventor	Robert Mays, Jr. APR 2 7 2004					
Examiner Name	Curs, Nathan M. Technology Center 20					
Group Art Unit	2633 Technology Center 24					
Attorney Docket No.	MYS-01-02i09					

METHOD OF PAYMENT FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:	3. /	ADDIT Large Entity		FEES Small Entity		
Deposit Account Number	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Deposit Account Name  Kenneth C. Brooks	105	130	205	65	Surcharge – late filing fee or oath	
X Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127	50	227	25	Surcharge – late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
2. Payment Enclosed:	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Check Credit Card Money Order Other	112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
FEE CALCULATION	113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
1. BASIC FILING FEE	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
Large Entity Small Entity	116	390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)  Fee Paid	117	890	217	445	Extension for reply within third month	
101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee	118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
106 320 206 160 Design filing fee	128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee	119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal	
108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee	120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing	
	138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00		110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	141	1,240	241	620	Petition to revive – unintentional	
Extra Claims Fee from below Fee Paid	142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Total Claims -20**= X	143	440	243	220	Design issue fee	
Independent Claims X = X	144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee	
Multiple Dependent	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
	123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Large Entity Fee Fee Fee · Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	180.00
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3	146	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	149	710	249	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
109 80 209 40 **Reissue independent claims over original patent	179	710	279	355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
110 18 210 9 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00	Other	fee (spe	cify) _			
** or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above	*Reduc	ed by Bas	ic Filing F	ee Paid	SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180.00	

SUBMITTED BY					Complete (if a)	oplicable)
Name (Print/Type)	Kenneth C. Brooks	1	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	38,393	Telephone	(512) 527-0104
Signature	Thurst Co	Ino	N		Date	April 15, 2004

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Robert Mays Jr. PATENT APPLICATION Serial No.: 09/851,856 Group Art Unit: 2633 Filing Date: May 9, 2001 Examiner: Curs, Nathan M.

For: FILTERING TECHNIQUE FOR FREE-SPACE COMMUNICATION

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313 APR 2 7 2004
Technology Center 2600

#### Sir:

The following information is submitted in compliance with Applicant's duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §1.56. However, the present information disclosure statement is being filed after the references being cited herein were known by an individual designated in 37 C.F.R. \$1.56 more that three (3) months prior to the filing of the present information disclosure statement. As a result, Applicant wishes to file the present information disclosure statement pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97(f), wherein C.F.R. §1.97(f) recites that an information disclosure statement may be permitted if a bona fide attempt to comply with 37 C.F.R. \$1.98 was made but part of the required content was inadvertently omitted. Applicant contends that a bona fide attempt to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.98 was made, with such a bona fide attempt being shown by a previously filed information disclosure statement, mailed November 9, 2001 and received by the USPTO on December 18, 2001. However, certain references were inadvertently omitted and not made of record in the present application. Specifically, an Office action mailed January 15, 2004, indicated as Paper 8 in the File Wrapper, rejected the present application under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over co-pending patent applications 09/851,857 and 09/648,847. Applicant did not believe that the claims that define the present invention were obvious in view of the invention defined by the claims of patent applications 09/851,857 and 09/648,847. admitting to this finding, the Applicant wishes to include any cited references contained within co-pending patent applications 09/851,857 and 09/648,847 that were inadvertently omitted in the present application, which results from our belief that the present application is not obvious in view of patent applications 09/851,857 and 09/648,847. Form PTO-1449 and the requisite copies of each reference recited below accompanies this document. It is respectfully requested that the cited information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and the references be made of record therein and appear among the "references cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

#### ISSUED PATENTS

Patent Number	Inventor	<u>Grant Date</u>
3,610,926	Kastner et al.	10-05-1971
3,751,132	Croh	08-07-1973
3,785,736	Spitz et al.	01-15-1974
4,807,978	Grinberg et al.	02-28-1989
4,897,541	Phillips	01-30-1990
4,991,150	Wixom	02-05-1991
5,008,890	McFarlane	04-16-1991
5,245,623	McFarlane	09-14-1993
5,325,386	Jewell et al.	06-28-1994
5,369,415	Richard et al.	11-29-1994
5,399,315	Paz-Pujalt et al.	03-21-1995
5,411,709	Furuki et al.	05-02-1995

5,446,334	Gaffney	08-29-1995
5,446,572	Husbands et al.	08-29-1995
5,467,104	Furness, III et al.	11-14-1995
5,521,913	Gridley	05-28-1996
5,596,339	Furness, III et al.	01-21-1997
5,602,679	Dolgoff et al.	02-11-1997
5,622,868	Clarke et al.	04-22-1997
5,659,327	Furness, III et al.	08-19-1997
5,793,919	Payne et al.	08-11-1998
5,822,091	Baker	10-13-1998
5,886,822	Spitzer	03-23-1999
5,915,097	Chao	06-22-1999
5,943,149	Cearns et al.	08-24-1999
6,008,781	Furness, III et al.	12-28-1999
6,055,099	Webb	04-25-2000
6,079,252	Tabler et al.	06-27-2000
6,081,430	La Rue	06-27-2000
6,083,767	Tjaden et al.	07-04-2000
6,144,472	Knox	11-07-2000
6,151,144	Knox	11-21-2000
6,185,215	Aho	02-06-2001
6,246,680	Muller et al.	06-12-2001
6,284,546	Bryning et al.	09-04-2001
6,314,210	Fukushima et al.	11-06-2001
6,452,700	Mays	09-17-2002

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Inventor	Pub. Date
00/04660	Amadon et al.	01-27-2000

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

Hariharan, P. Opical Holography: Priniciples, Techniques and Applications. Cabridge: Cambridge University Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, pp. 213-223, 1996.

- Mays, Robert Jr. "Network Switch Employing Free-Space Optical Switching Technique," U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0191598. Published on December 19, 2002.
- Mays, Robert Jr. "Computer Backplane Employing Free-Space Optical Interconnect," U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0089709. Published on July 11, 2002.
- Mays, Robert Jr. "Network Routing Employing Free-Space Optical Broadcasting," U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0191254. Published on December 19, 2002.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that this paper
(along with any paper referred to
as being attached or enclosed) is
being deposited with the United
States Postal Service on the date
shown below with sufficient
postage as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop
PATENT APPLICATION, Alexandria,

VA 22313 Signed: Why Siffeld Typed Name: Alexis Sheffield

Date: April 15, 2004

Respectfully Submitted,

Kenneth C. Brooks Reg. No. 38,393

P.O. Box 10417

Austin, Texas 78766-1417 Telephone: 512-527-0104 Facsimile: 512-527-0107 patentsrus@earthlink.net